

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 648/11

ALTUS GROUP 17327 106A Avenue EDMONTON, AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on January 9, 2012, respecting a complaint for:

Roll	Municipal	Legal	Assessed Value	Assessment	Assessment
Number	Address	Description		Type	Notice for:
1283506	15445 Stony Plain Road NW	Plan: 6005BB Block:20 Lot: 4-6.Plan RN62:Block 20	\$1,937,000	Annual New	2011

Before:

Don Marchand, Presiding Officer Brian Carbol, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Board Officer: Karin Lauderdale

Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:

No one appeared

Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

No one appeared

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The parties jointly provided a signed recommendation to the CARB requesting that the original assessment of \$1,937,000 be reduced to \$1,749,000.

ISSUE(S)

Is the current assessment of the subject property fair and equitable?

LEGISLATION

Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant did not appear at the hearing.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent did not appear at the hearing.

DECISION

Roll Number	Original Assessment	New Assessment
1283506	\$1,937,000	\$1,749,000

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The CARB accepted the recommendation of the parties as stated in their joint submission.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 16th day of January, 2012, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

on Marcha	nd Dracidi	ng Officer	
on Marcha	nd Presidi	ng Officer	

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: CROSSING AT 134TH LTD